CAUSE NO.	58524	
FAITH TEMPLE CHURCH OF	§	
GOD IN CHRIST,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
	§	
's.	§	HARDIN COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
CHURCH MUTUAL INSURANCE	§	
COMPANY,	§	356th
Defendant.	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW Faith Temple Church of God in Christ (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), and complains of Church Mutual Insurance Company (hereinafter "Church Mutual"). In support of its claims and causes of action, Plaintiff would respectfully show the Court as follows:

DISCOVERY LEVEL

1. Plaintiff intends for discovery to be conducted at Level 2, pursuant to Rule 190 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has jurisdiction to hear Plaintiff's claims under Texas common law and Texas statutory law. Inarguably, the amount in controversy exceeds the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court. Venue is also proper, as all or a substantial part of the events giving rise to this suit occurred within the city of Silsbee, in Hardin County, Texas.



PARTIES

- Plaintiff is an individual whose residence is located in Silsbee, Hardin County,
 Texas.
- 4. Defendant Church Mutual is a foreign corporation, duly registered with the Texas Department of Insurance to do business in Texas, which may be served with process by serving this Original Petition and a copy of the citation on its Registered Agent, Corporation Service Company, 211 East 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.

BACKGROUND

- 5. This matter revolves largely around a first party insurance dispute regarding the extent of damages and amount of loss suffered to the Plaintiff's Property, which is located at 2800 Highway 327, Silsbee, Texas 77656, (the "Property"). In addition to seeking economic and penalty based damages from Church Mutual, Plaintiff also seeks compensation from Church Mutual for damages caused by improperly investigating the extensive losses associated with this case.
 - 6. Plaintiff owns the Property.
- 7. Prior to the occurrence in question, Plaintiff purchased a residential insurance policy from Church Mutual to cover the Property at issue in this case for a loss due to storm-related events. Plaintiff's Property suffered storm-related damage. Through its residential policy, 0189421-603522, Plaintiff was objectively insured for the subject loss by Defendant.
- 8. On or around January 8, 2016, the Property suffered incredible damage due to storm related conditions.



9. In the aftermath, Plaintiff relied on Church Mutual to help begin the rebuilding

process. By and through its residential policy, Plaintiff was objectively insured for the subject

losses in this matter.

10. Pursuant to its obligation as a policyholder, Plaintiff made complete payment of all

residential insurance premiums in a timely fashion. Moreover, its residential policy covered

Plaintiff during the time period in question.

11. Despite Plaintiff's efforts, Church Mutual continually failed and refused to pay

Plaintiff in accordance with its promises under the Policy.

12. Moreover, Church Mutual has failed to make any reasonable attempt to settle

Plaintiff's claims in a fair manner, although its liability to the Plaintiff under the policy is without

dispute.

13. In the months following, Plaintiff provided information to Church Mutual, as well

as provided opportunities for Church Mutual to inspect the Property. However, Church Mutual

failed to conduct a fair investigation into the damage to the Property. Moreover, Church Mutual

failed to properly inspect the Property and its related damages, failed to properly request

information, failed to properly investigate the claim, failed to timely evaluate the claim, failed to

timely estimate the claim, and failed to timely and properly report and make recommendations in

regard to Plaintiff's claims.

14. Despite Church Mutual's improprieties, Plaintiff continued to provide information

regarding the losses and the related claim to Church Mutual. Further, Plaintiff made inquiries

regarding the status of the losses, and payments. Regardless, Church Mutual failed and refused

to respond to the inquiries, and failed to properly adjust the claim and the losses. As a result, to

this date, Plaintiff has not received proper payment for its claim, even though notification was provided.

- 15. Church Mutual has failed to explain the reasons for failing to offer adequate compensation for the damage to the Property. Church Mutual has furthermore failed to offer Plaintiff adequate compensation without any explanation why full payment was not being made. Church Mutual did not communicate that any future settlements or payments would be forthcoming to pay the entire losses covered under the policy.
- 16. Church Mutual has further failed to affirm or deny coverage within a reasonable time. Plaintiff also did not receive timely indication of acceptance or rejection regarding the full and entire claim in writing from Church Mutual in a timely manner.
- 17. Church Mutual has, to date, refused to fully compensate Plaintiff under the terms of the policy for which Plaintiff paid, even though it was Church Mutual that failed to conduct a reasonable investigation. Ultimately, Church Mutual performed a result-oriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim that resulted in an unfair, biased and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiff's losses.
- 18. Church Mutual has failed to meet its obligations under the Texas Insurance Code regarding timely acknowledging Plaintiff's claim, beginning an investigation of Plaintiff's claim, and requesting all information reasonably necessary to investigate Plaintiff's claims within the time period mandated by statute.
- 19. As a result of the above issues, Plaintiff did not receive the coverage for which it had originally contracted with Church Mutual. Unfortunately, Plaintiff has, therefore, been forced to file this suit in order to recover damages arising from the above conduct, as well as overall from the unfair refusal to pay insurance benefits.

20. In addition, Church Mutual has failed to place adequate and proper coverage for Plaintiff causing Plaintiff to suffer further damages. As indicated below, Plaintiff seeks relief under the common law, the Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act and the Texas Insurance Code.

CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

21. All conditions precedent to recovery by Plaintiff has been met or has occurred.

AGENCY

22. All acts by Church Mutual were undertaken and completed by its officers, agents, servants, employees, and/or representatives. Such were either done with the full authorization or ratification of Church Mutual and/or were completed in its normal and routine course and scope of employment with Church Mutual.

CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT

23. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.

A. BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 24. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.
- 25. According to the policy that Plaintiff purchased, Church Mutual had the absolute duty to investigate Plaintiff's damages, and to pay Plaintiff's policy benefits for the claims made due to the extensive storm-related damages.
- 26. As a result of the storm-related event, Plaintiff suffered extreme external and internal damages.



27. Despite objective evidence of such damages, Church Mutual has breached its contractual obligations under the subject insurance policy by failing to pay Plaintiff benefits relating to the cost to properly repair Plaintiff's Property, as well as for related losses. As a result of this breach, Plaintiff has suffered actual and consequential damages.

B. VIOLATIONS OF TEXAS DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT AND TIE-IN-STATUTES

- 28. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.
- 29. Church Mutual's collective actions constitute violations of the DTPA, including but not limited to, Sections 17.46(b) (12), (14), (20), (24), and Section 17.50(a) (4) of the Texas Business & Commerce Code. Church Mutual collectively engaged in false, misleading, or deceptive acts or practices that included, but were not limited to:
 - a. Representing that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits or quantities which they do not have or that a person has a sponsorship, approval, status, affiliation, or connection which the person does not;
 - b. Representing that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or that good are of a particular style or model, if they are of another;
 - Representing that an agreement confers or involves rights, remedies, or obligations which it does not have or involve, or which are prohibited by law;
 - d. Using or employing an act or practice in violation of the Texas Insurance Code;
 - e. Unreasonably delaying the investigation, adjustment and resolution of Plaintiff's claim;
 - f. Failure to properly investigate Plaintiff's claim; and



- g. Hiring and relying upon a biased engineer and/or adjuster to obtain a favorable, result-oriented report to assist Church Mutual in low-balling and/or denying Plaintiff's damage claim.
- 30. As described in this Original Petition, Church Mutual represented to Plaintiff that its insurance policy and Church Mutual's adjusting and investigative services had characteristics or benefits that it actually did not have, which gives Plaintiff the right to recover under Section 17.46 (b)(5) of the DTPA.
- 31. As described in this Original Petition, Church Mutual represented to Plaintiff that its insurance policy and Church Mutual's adjusting and investigative services were of a particular standard, quality, or grade when they were of another, which stands in violation of Section 17.46 (b)(7) of the DTPA.
- 32. By representing that Church Mutual would pay the entire amount needed by Plaintiff to repair the damages caused by the storm-related event and then not doing so, Church Mutual has violated Sections 17.46 (b)(5), (7) and (12) of the DTPA.
- 33. Church Mutual has breached an express warranty that the damage caused by the storm-related event would be covered under the subject insurance policies. This breach entitles Plaintiff to recover under Sections 17.46 (b) (12) and (20) and 17.50 (a) (2) of the DTPA.
- 34. Church Mutual's actions, as described herein, are unconscionable in that it took advantage of Plaintiff's lack of knowledge, ability, and experience to a grossly unfair degree. Church Mutual's unconscionable conduct gives Plaintiff the right to relief under Section 17.50(a) (3) of the DTPA.



- 35. Church Mutual's conduct, acts, omissions, and failures, as described in this Original Petition, are unfair practices in the business of insurance in violation of Section 17.50 (a) (4) of the DTPA.
- 36. Plaintiff is a consumer, as defined under the DTPA, and relied upon these false, misleading, or deceptive acts or practices made by Church Mutual to its detriment. As a direct and proximate result of Church Mutual's collective acts and conduct, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court, for which Plaintiff now sues. All of the above-described acts, omissions, and failures of Church Mutual are a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages that are described in this Original Petition.
- 37. Because Church Mutual's collective actions and conduct were committed knowingly and intentionally, Plaintiff is entitled to recover, in addition to all damages described herein, mental anguish damages and additional penalty damages, in an amount not to exceed three times such actual damages, for Church Mutual having knowingly committed its conduct. Additionally, Plaintiff is ultimately entitled to recover damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of mental anguish and actual damages due to Church Mutual having intentionally committed such conduct.
- 38. As a result of Church Mutual's unconscionable, misleading, and deceptive actions and conduct, Plaintiff has been forced to retain the legal services of the undersigned attorneys to protect and pursue these claims on its behalf. Accordingly, Plaintiff also seeks to recover its costs and reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees as permitted under Section 17.50(d) of the Texas Business & Commerce Code, as well as any other such damages to which Plaintiff may show itself to be justly entitled at law and in equity.



C. VIOLATIONS OF TEXAS INSURANCE CODE

- 39. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances set forth within the foregoing paragraphs.
- 40. Church Mutual's actions constitute violations of the Texas Insurance Code, including but not limited to, Article 21.21 Sections 4(10) (a) (ii), (iv), and (viii) (codified as Section 541.060), Article 21.21 Section 11(e) (codified as Section 541.061), and Article 21.55 Section 3(f) (codified as Section 542.058). Specifically, Church Mutual engaged in certain unfair or deceptive acts or practices that include, but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Failing to attempt, in good faith, to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of a claim with respect to which the insurer's liability has become reasonably clear;
 - b. Failing to provide promptly to a policyholder a reasonable explanation of the basis in the policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the insurer's denial of a claim or for the offer of a compromise settlement of a claim;
 - c. Refusing to pay a claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to the claim;
 - d. Forcing Plaintiff to file suit to recover amounts due under the policy by refusing to pay all benefits due;
 - e. Misrepresenting an insurance policy by failing to disclose any matter required by law to be disclosed, including a failure to make such disclosure in accordance with another provision of this code; and/or
 - f. Failing to pay a valid claim after receiving all reasonably requested and required items from the claimant.
- 41. Plaintiff is the insured or beneficiary of a claim which was apparently valid as a result of the unauthorized acts of Church Mutual, and Plaintiff relied upon these unfair or

deceptive acts or practices by Church Mutual to its detriment. Accordingly, Church Mutual became the insurer of Plaintiff.

- 42. As a direct and proximate result of Church Mutual's acts and conduct, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court, for which it now sues.
- 43. Since a violation of the Texas Insurance Code is a direct violation of the DTPA, and because Church Mutual's actions and conduct were committed knowingly and intentionally, Plaintiff is entitled to recover, in addition to all damages described herein, mental anguish damages and additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of actual damages, for Church Mutual having knowingly committed such conduct. Additionally, Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of mental and actual damages for Church Mutual having intentionally committed such conduct.
- 44. As a result of Church Mutual's unfair and deceptive actions and conduct, Plaintiff has been forced to retain the legal services of the undersigned attorneys to protect and pursue these claims on its behalf. Accordingly, Plaintiff also seeks to recover its costs and reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees as permitted under Section 17.50(d) of the Texas Business & Commerce Code or Article 21.21 Section 16(b) (1) (codified as Section 541.152) of the Texas Insurance Code and any other such damages to which Plaintiff may show itself justly entitled by law and in equity.



D. BREACH OF THE COMMON-LAW DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

- 45. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 46. By its acts, omissions, failures and conduct, Church Mutual has breached its common law duty of good faith and fair dealing by denying Plaintiff's claims or inadequately adjusting and making an offer on Plaintiff's claims without any reasonable basis, and by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation to determine whether there was a reasonable basis for this denial.
- 47. Church Mutual has also breached this duty by unreasonably delaying payment of Plaintiff's entire claims and by failing to settle Plaintiff's claims, as Church Mutual knew or should have known that it was reasonably clear that Plaintiff's storm-related claims were covered. These acts, omissions, failures, and conduct by Church Mutual is a proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.

E. UNFAIR INSURANCE PRACTICES

- 48. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances in the foregoing paragraphs.
- 49. Plaintiff has satisfied all conditions precedent to bringing these causes of action. By its acts, omissions, failures, and conduct, Church Mutual has engaged in unfair and deceptive acts or practices in the business of insurance in violation of Chapter 541 of the Texas Insurance Code.



- 50. Such violations include, without limitation, all the conduct described in this Original Petition, plus Church Mutual's failure to properly investigate Plaintiff's claim. Plaintiff also includes Church Mutual's unreasonable delays in the investigation, adjustment, and resolution of Plaintiff's claims and Church Mutual's failure to pay for the proper repair of Plaintiff's Property, as to which Church Mutual's liability had become reasonably clear.
- 51. Additional violations include Church Mutual's hiring of and reliance upon biased adjusters and/or engineers to obtain favorable, result-oriented reports to assist it in low-balling and denying Plaintiff's storm-related damage and related claims. Plaintiff further includes Church Mutual's failure to look for coverage and give Plaintiff the benefit of the doubt, as well as Church Mutual's misrepresentations of coverage under the subject insurance policy. Specifically, Church Mutual is also guilty of the following unfair insurance practices:
 - a. Engaging in false, misleading, and deceptive acts or practices in the business of insurance in this case;
 - b. Engaging in unfair claims settlement practices;
 - c. Misrepresenting to Plaintiff pertinent facts or policy provisions relating to the coverage at issue;
 - d. Not attempting in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of Plaintiffs' claims as to which Church Mutual's liability had become reasonably clear;
 - e. Failing to affirm or deny coverage of Plaintiff's claims within a reasonable time and failing within a reasonable time to submit a reservation of rights letter to Plaintiff;
 - f. Refusing to pay Plaintiff's claims without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to the claims; and/or
 - g. Failing to provide promptly to a policyholder a reasonable explanation of the basis in the insurance policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the denial of a claim or for the offer of a compromise settlement.



52. Church Mutual has also breached the Texas Insurance Code when it breached its duty of good faith and fair dealing. Church Mutual's conduct as described herein has resulted in Plaintiff's damages that are described in this Original Petition.

WAIVER AND ESTOPPEL

- 53. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all facts and circumstances set forth under the foregoing paragraphs.
- 54. Church Mutual has waived and is estopped from asserting any defenses, conditions, exclusions, or exceptions to coverage not contained in any Reservation of Rights or denial letters to Plaintiff.

DAMAGES

- 55. Church Mutual's acts have been the producing and/or proximate cause of damage to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff seeks an amount in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.
- 56. More specifically, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief of over \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000.

ADDITIONAL DAMAGES & PENALTIES

57. Church Mutual's conduct was committed knowingly and intentionally. Accordingly, Church Mutual is liable for additional damages under the DTPA, section 17.50(b) (1), as well as all operative provisions of the Texas Insurance Code. Plaintiff is, thus, clearly entitled to the 18% damages allowed by the Texas Insurance Code.



ATTORNEY FEES

58. In addition, Plaintiff is entitled to all reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees pursuant to the Texas Insurance Code, DTPA, and sections 38.001-.005 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

JURY DEMAND

59. Plaintiff demands a jury trial and tenders the appropriate fee with this Original Petition.

REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

60. Pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff requests that Church Mutual disclose all information and/or material as required by Rule 194.2, paragraphs (a) through (l), and to do so within 50 days of this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

- 61. Pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff propounds the following Requests for Production.
 - a. Please produce Church Mutual complete claim files from the home, regional, local offices, and third party adjusters/adjusting firms regarding the claims that are the subject of this matter, including copies of the file jackets, "field" files and notes, and drafts of documents contained in the file for the premises relating to or arising out of Plaintiff's underlying claim.
 - b. Please produce the CV of the individual responding to these discovery requests.
 - c. Please produce the underwriting files referring or relating in any way to the policy at issue in this action, including the file folders in which the underwriting documents are kept and drafts of all documents in the file.
 - d. Please produce certified copy of the insurance policy pertaining to the claims involved in this suit.



- e. Please produce the electronic diary, including the electronic and paper notes made by Church Mutual claims personnel, contractors, and third party adjusters/adjusting firms relating to the Plaintiff's claims.
- f. Please produce all emails and other forms of communication by and between all parties in this matter relating to the underlying event, claims or the Property, which is the subject of this suit.
- g. Please produce the adjusting reports, estimates and appraisals prepared concerning Plaintiff's underlying claim.
- h. Please produce the field notes, measurements and file maintained by the adjuster(s) and engineers who physically inspected the subject Property.
- i. Please produce the emails, instant messages and internal correspondence pertaining to Plaintiff's underlying claim(s).
- j. Please produce the videotapes, photographs and recordings of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's home, regardless of whether Church Mutual intends to offer these items into evidence at trial.

INTERROGATORIES

- 62. Pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff propounds the following Interrogatories.
 - a. Please identify any person Church Mutual expects to call to testify at the time of trial.
 - b. Identify the individual responding to these discovery requests on behalf of Defendant, including his or her name, current occupation, and role in the Claim;
 - c. Please identify the persons involved in the investigation and handling of Plaintiff's claims for insurance benefits arising from damage relating to the underlying event, claims or the Property, which is the subject of this suit, and include a brief description of the involvement of each person identified, their employer, and the date(s) of such involvement.
 - d. If Church Mutual or Church Mutual's representatives performed any investigative steps in addition to what is reflected in the claims file, please generally describe those investigative steps conducted by Church Mutual or any of Church



Mutual's representatives with respect to the facts surrounding the circumstances of the subject loss. Identify the persons involved in each step.

- e. Please identify by date, author, and result the estimates, appraisals, engineering, mold and other reports generated as a result of Church Mutual's investigation.
- f. Please state the following concerning notice of claims and timing of payment:
 - i. The date and manner in which Church Mutual received notice of the claim;
 - ii. The date and manner in which Church Mutual acknowledged receipt of the claim;
 - iii. The date and manner in which Church Mutual commenced investigation of the claim;
 - iv. The date and manner in which Church Mutual requested from the claimant all items, statements, and forms that Church Mutual reasonably believed, at the time, would be required from the claimant; and
 - v. The date and manner in which Church Mutual notified the claimant in writing of the acceptance or rejection of the claim.
- g. Please identify by date, amount and reason, the insurance proceed payments made by Church Mutual, or on Church Mutual's behalf, to the Plaintiff.
- h. Have Plaintiff's claims for insurance benefits been rejected or denied in full or in part? If so, state the reasons for rejecting/denying the claim.
- i. When was the date Church Mutual anticipated litigation?
- j. Have any documents (including those maintained electronically) relating to the investigation or handling of Plaintiff's claims for insurance benefits been destroyed or disposed of? If so, please identify what, when and why the document was destroyed, and describe Church Mutual's document retention policy.
- k. Does Church Mutual contend that the insured premises was damaged by storm-related events and/or any excluded peril? If so, state the general factual basis for this contention.
- I. Does Church Mutual contend that any act or omission by the Plaintiff voided, nullified, waived or breached the insurance policy in any way? If so, state the general factual basis for this contention.



- m. Does Church Mutual contend that the Plaintiff failed to satisfy any condition precedent or covenant of the policy in any way? If so, state the general factual basis for this contention.
- n. How is the performance of the adjuster(s) involved in handling Plaintiff's claims evaluated? State the following:
 - i. what performance measures are used; and
 - ii. describe Church Mutual's bonus or incentive plan for adjusters.

CONCLUSION

63. Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Church Mutual Insurance Company, and that Plaintiff be awarded all of its actual damages, consequential damages, prejudgment interest, additional statutory damages, post judgment interest, reasonable and necessary attorney fees, court costs and for all such other relief, general or specific, in law or in equity, whether pled or un-pled within this Original Petition.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays it be awarded all such relief to which it is due as a result of the acts of Church Mutual Insurance Company, and for all such other relief to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

(Signature block on following page)



Respectfully submitted,

THE VOSS LAW FIRM, P.C.

C. Bryan Beverly
C. Bryan Beverly
Texas Bar No. 24082688
The Voss Law Center
26619 Interstate 45 South
The Woodlands, Texas 77380
Telephone: (713) 861-0015
Facsimile: (713) 861-0021
bryan@vosslawfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFIED

I, DANA HOGG, District Clerk in and for HARDIN COUNTY, TEXAS, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy as same appears on record in my office.

Witness my Hand and Seal of Office, this the

DANA YOGG, DISTRICT CLERK

______ HARDIN COUNTY, TEXAS

ey Dopuly Kalle Como C

